

# ODDNESS OF RESIDUALLY REDUCIBLE GALOIS REPRESENTATIONS

TOBIAS BERGER<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** We show that suitable congruences between polarized automorphic forms over a CM field always produce elements in the Selmer group for exactly the  $\pm$ -Asai (aka tensor induction) representation that is critical in the sense of Deligne. For this we relate the oddness of the associated polarized Galois representations (in the sense of the Bellaïche-Chenevier sign being  $+1$ ) to the parity condition for criticality. Under an assumption similar to Vandiver's conjecture this also provides evidence for the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture for polarized Galois representations of any even dimension.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Ribet proved in [Rib76] that, if a prime  $p > 2$  divides the numerator of the  $k$ -th Bernoulli number for  $k \geq 4$  an even integer, then  $p$  divides the order of the part of the class group of  $\mathbf{Q}(\mu_p)$  on which  $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\mu_p)/\mathbf{Q})$  acts by the  $(1-k)$ -th power of the mod  $p$  cyclotomic character  $\bar{\epsilon}$ . The argument (in fact a slight variance of Ribet's proof outlined in [Kha00]) is as follows: One considers the weight  $k$  Eisenstein series for  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$  and proves the existence of a weight  $k$  cuspidal eigenform that is congruent to the Eisenstein series. Ribet then shows that one can find a lattice in the irreducible odd  $p$ -adic Galois representation  $\rho_f$  associated to  $f$  such that its mod  $p$  reduction gives a non-split extension  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & \bar{\epsilon}^{k-1} \end{pmatrix}$ . Note that this proves one direction of the Bloch-Kato conjecture for the Riemann zeta function (proven in [BK90] Theorem 6.1 (i)) in so far as it relates the  $p$ -divisibility of the value  $\frac{\zeta(k)}{\pi^k}$  for the even integer  $k$  to that of the order of the Selmer group for the critical motive  $\mathbf{Q}(1-k)$ . For odd  $k$  this motive is not critical and Vandiver's conjecture predicts, in fact, that the corresponding Selmer group is trivial. We note that  $\rho_f$  is polarized in the sense that

$$\rho_f^\vee \cong \rho_f \otimes \det(\rho_f)^{-1} \cong \rho_f \otimes \epsilon^{1-k},$$

and that the characters occurring in the reduction get swapped under this polarization.

We generalize Ribet's strategy using polarized (essentially conjugate dual) Galois representations of CM fields to prove part of the Bloch-Kato conjecture for general Asai (or tensor induction)  $L$ -functions, our main result in Theorem 7.1. For the Asai  $L$ -functions induced from CM fields a similar parity condition to that for the Riemann zeta function is required for criticality. We demonstrate in Corollary 7.3

---

*Date:* November 29, 2016.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F80, 11F55.

*Key words and phrases.* Galois representations, Fontaine-Mazur conjecture, Bloch-Kato conjecture.

that this parity condition is linked to the oddness of the polarized Galois representation (where the representation is odd if the sign defined in [BC11] equals +1, see Definition 5.5).

By the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture in [FM95] all representations  $\sigma : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$  that are “geometric” (i.e. unramified at almost all primes and potentially semi-stable at  $p$ ) are modular and therefore odd (in the sense that  $\det(\sigma(\tilde{c})) = -1$  for any complex conjugation  $\tilde{c}$ ), or they must be a Tate twist of an even representation with finite image. Calegari proved the following remarkable result about the non-existence of even geometric representations:

**Theorem 1.1** ([Cal12]). *Let  $p > 7$  be a prime and  $\sigma : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$  a continuous representation such that  $\sigma$  is unramified at all but finitely many primes, and at  $p$  is potentially semi-stable with distinct Hodge-Tate weights. Further assume that the residual representation  $\overline{\sigma}$  is absolutely irreducible and not of dihedral type, and that  $\overline{\sigma}|_{D_p}$  is not a twist of a representation of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\epsilon} & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $\sigma$  is odd.*

In the residually reducible case one can use Ribet’s argument to prove the following:

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $p > 2$  and  $\sigma : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$  an irreducible continuous representation such that  $\overline{\sigma}^{\mathrm{ss}} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \overline{\epsilon}^m$  for  $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ . Assume that  $\sigma$  is unramified outside of  $p$ , and either ordinary at  $p$  or crystalline at  $p$  with Hodge-Tate weights in  $[0, p-3]$ . Then Vandiver’s conjecture ( $p \nmid \#\mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{Q}(\mu_p)^+)$ ) implies that  $\sigma$  is odd.*

*Proof.* By [Rib76] one can find a lattice such that the mod  $p$  reduction is a non-split extension of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & * \\ 0 & \overline{\epsilon}^m \end{pmatrix}$  that is unramified away from  $p$ . One can show that this extension is split at  $p$ . This follows from Fontaine-Laffaille theory if  $\sigma$  is “short crystalline” as in the statement, or from ordinarity, which implies that  $\sigma|_{D_p}$  has an unramified quotient.

This implies (see e.g. [Kha00] p. 275) that  $p \mid \#\mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{Q}(\mu_p))(\overline{\epsilon}^{-m})$ . By Vandiver’s conjecture  $m$  has to be odd, which implies that  $\det(\sigma)$  is odd.  $\square$

**Remark 1.3.** The assumptions on the ramification of  $\sigma$  can be weakened, e.g. by allowing ramification at primes  $\ell$  satisfying  $\ell^m \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . At  $p$  crystallinity alone is not enough to be able to deduce that the extension is split at  $p$ , as the following example (pointed out to us by Kevin Buzzard) shows: Consider  $p = 3$  and  $\sigma = \rho_{\Delta,3}$  the 3-adic Galois representation associated to the weight 12 level 1 cuspform  $\Delta$ . Then  $\overline{\rho}_{\Delta,3}^{\mathrm{ss}} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \overline{\epsilon}$ , but the class number of  $\mathbf{Q}(\mu_3)$  is one.

We will prove in Corollary 8.3 a higher-dimensional generalization of Proposition 1.2 (for residually reducible polarized Galois representations of any dimension) as a consequence of the contrapositive of our main result.

Given a representation  $\rho : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(E)$  for  $K/K^+$  a CM field and  $E$  a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  one can define two extensions of  $\rho \otimes \rho^c$  to  $G_{K^+}$ , which we denote by  $\mathrm{As}^{\pm}(\rho)$  (for details see section 3). Our main result Theorem 7.1 concerns the Bloch-Kato conjecture for these representations and generalizes our previous result in [Ber15] for Asai representations of 2-dimensional representations of an imaginary quadratic fields to this setting, including extensions of adjoint representations of polarized regular motives as studied in [Har13]. We explore in this paper the subtle connection between polarizations, signs and criticality that this exposes.

To explain this we need to introduce some more notation: Let  $\Psi : G_{K^+} \rightarrow E^*$  be a Hecke character and  $R : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(E)$  an irreducible  $G_K$ -representation such that  $R^\vee \cong R^c \otimes \Psi|_{G_K}$  with  $\overline{R}^{\mathrm{ss}} = \overline{\rho} \oplus \overline{\rho}^{c^\vee} \otimes \Psi^{-1}|_{G_K}$  (i.e.  $R$  is residually reducible with two summands that get swapped under the polarization). Using Shapiro's lemma we relate in Lemma 4.1 the  $K^+$ -Selmer group for  $\mathrm{As}^{\pm\Psi(c_v)}(\overline{\rho}) \otimes \Psi$  to the part of its  $K$ -Selmer group on which the action by a complex conjugation  $c_v$  for  $v \mid \infty$  is given by  $\pm\Psi(c_v)$ . In Lemma 7.2 we show that on  $H^1(\mathrm{As}^{-\Psi(c_v)}(\overline{\rho}) \otimes \Psi)$  the action by  $c_v$  agrees with an involution  $\perp_{c_v}$  corresponding to the polarization condition satisfied by  $R$ . A result of [BC09] implies that the involution  $\perp_{c_v}$  acts on the  $G_K$ -extension constructed from  $R$  by the sign associated to  $(R, \Psi, c_v)$  (introduced in Definition 5.5). Combining these, we get in Theorem 7.1 a construction of an element in the Bloch-Kato Selmer group of  $\mathrm{As}^\pm(\rho) \otimes \Psi$ , where the sign is given by  $-\Psi(c_v)\mathrm{sign}(R, \Psi, c_v)$ . In Proposition 6.3 we prove that this  $\mathrm{As}^\pm(\rho) \otimes \Psi$  is critical in the sense of Deligne (for characters  $\Psi$  where this corresponds to a twist in the left hand side of the critical strip of  $\mathrm{As}(\rho)$ ) if and only if  $\mathrm{sign}(R, \Psi, c_v) = 1$ . That automorphic Galois representations  $R$  are odd in this sense has been proven in [BC11] for Galois representations associated to regular algebraic cuspidal polarized representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_m$  over CM fields. We expect it to hold more generally, see the discussion in Remark 5.10.

The (non-critical)  $G_{K^+}$ -representation  $\mathrm{As}^{\Psi(c_v)}(\rho) \otimes \Psi$  does, in fact, not depend on  $\Psi(c_v)$ . This allows us in Corollary 8.3 to deduce total oddness of certain residually reducible polarized Galois representations if we assume that the order of the Selmer group  $H_{\Sigma^+}^1(K^+, \mathrm{As}^{\Psi(\tilde{c})}(\rho) \otimes \Psi \otimes (E/\mathcal{O}))$  is not divisible by  $p$  for a fixed choice  $\tilde{c}$  of complex conjugation.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank Gaëtan Chenevier, Neil Dummigan, Kris Klosin, Jack Thorne and Jacques Tilouine for helpful conversations related to the topics of this article. The author's research was supported by the EPSRC First Grant EP/K01174X/1. The author would also like to thank ESI, Vienna, for their hospitality during the "Arithmetic Geometry and Automorphic Representations" workshop in April/May 2015, where part of this research was carried out.

#### 2. NOTATION

If  $L$  is a number field then we fix algebraic closures  $\overline{L}$  and  $\overline{L}_v$  for every place  $v$  of  $L$  and compatible embeddings  $\overline{L} \hookrightarrow \overline{L}_v \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$  and write  $D_{L_v}$  and  $I_{L_v}$  (or  $I_v$  if  $L$  is understood) for the corresponding decomposition and inertia subgroups of  $G_L := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{L}/L)$ .

We fix a prime  $p > 2$  and denote by  $\epsilon : G_L \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p^*$  the  $p$ -adic cyclotomic character. If  $v$  is a finite place of  $L$ , not dividing  $p$ , then its value on the arithmetic Frobenius element  $\epsilon(\mathrm{Frob}_v) = q_v$ , where the latter denotes the size of the residue field of  $L$  at  $v$ . For a  $p$ -adic representation  $V$  of  $G_L$ , we write  $V(n) := V \otimes \epsilon^n$  for  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ . For the coefficients of our  $p$ -adic representations, we will take  $E$  to be a (sufficiently large) finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  inside  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$  with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}$  and residue field  $\mathbf{F}$ . We fix a choice of a uniformizer  $\varpi$ .

We fix an isomorphism  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p} \cong \mathbf{C}$ . If  $\chi : \mathbf{A}_L^*/L^* \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*$  is a Hecke character of type  $(A_0)$  then we write  $\chi^{\mathrm{gal}}$  for the associated Galois character  $G_L \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}^*$  (for details

see e.g. [Tho15], but we use the local Artin reciprocity maps mapping uniformizers to arithmetic Frobenius elements).

Let  $K/K^+$  be a CM field, and write  $\chi_{K/K^+} : G_{K^+} \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  for the corresponding quadratic character. We fix a complex conjugation  $\tilde{c} \in G_{K^+}$ . For a  $G_K$ -representation  $(\rho, V)$  we define the conjugate representation  $(\rho^c, V^c)$  by  $\rho^c(g) = \rho(\tilde{c}g\tilde{c})$ .

### 3. ASAI $L$ -FUNCTION AND TENSOR INDUCTION

We describe the definition of the Asai  $L$ -function of a cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi$  for  $\mathrm{GL}_m(K)$  for a CM field  $K/K^+$  (adapting the treatment for  $m = 2$  in [Kri12], see also [Ram04] Section 6). The Langlands dual of the algebraic group  $R_{K/K^+}\mathrm{GL}_m$  is given by  ${}^L(R_{K/K^+}\mathrm{GL}_m) = (\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbf{C})) \rtimes G_{K^+}$ . There are  $m^2$ -dimensional representations

$$r^\pm : {}^L(R_{K/K^+}\mathrm{GL}_m/K)(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{C}^m \otimes \mathbf{C}^m)$$

given by

$$r^\pm(g, g', \gamma)(x \otimes y) = g(x) \otimes g'(y) \text{ for } \gamma|_K = 1$$

and

$$r^\pm(1, 1, \gamma)(x \otimes y) = \pm y \otimes x. \text{ for } \gamma|_K \neq 1$$

For each place  $v$  we denote the local  $L$ -group homomorphisms obtained from  $r^\pm$  by restriction by  $r_v^\pm$ .

Let  $\pi$  be a cuspidal automorphic representation for  $\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbf{A}_K)$ . Then we may consider  $\pi$  as a representation of  $R_{K/K^+}\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbf{A})$  and factorize it as a restricted tensor product

$$\pi = \otimes_v \pi_v,$$

where each  $\pi_v$  is an irreducible admissible representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_m(K \otimes_{K^+} K_v^+)$ , with corresponding  $L$ -parameter  $\phi_v : W'_{K_v^+} \rightarrow (\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbf{C})) \rtimes G_{K_v^+}$ . Let  $\mathrm{As}^\pm(\pi_v)$  now be the irreducible admissible representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_{m^2}(K_v^+)$  corresponding to the parameter  $r_v^\pm \circ \phi_v$  under the local Langlands correspondence and put  $\mathrm{As}^\pm(\pi) := \otimes_v \mathrm{As}^\pm(\pi_v)$ . By Langlands functoriality these should be isobaric automorphic representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_{m^2}(\mathbf{A})$ , the  $\pm$  Asai transfers of  $\pi$ . For  $m = 2$  this has been proven by Krishnamurty [Kri03] and Ramakrishnan [Ram02].

We will, however, only be referring to the corresponding Langlands  $L$ -function, which is defined by

$$L(s, \pi, r^\pm) = \prod_v L(s, \pi_v, r_v^\pm)$$

with  $L(s, \pi_v, r_v^\pm) = L(s, r^\pm \circ \phi_v)$ . For unramified  $v$  and  $\pi_v$  spherical the latter is given by

$$\det(I - r^\pm(A(\pi_v))\mathrm{Nm}(v)^{-s})^{-1},$$

where  $A(\pi_v)$  is the semisimple conjugacy class (Satake parameter) in  ${}^L(R_{K/K^+}\mathrm{GL}_m)(\mathbf{C})$  (which is represented by  $(\tilde{A}(\pi_v), \mathrm{Frob}_v)$  for  $\tilde{A}(\pi_v) \in \widehat{R_{K/K^+}\mathrm{GL}_m}$ ). For more details and its analytic properties we refer the reader to [GS15].

We will also consider the twisted Asai  $L$ -function  $L(s, \pi, r^\pm \otimes \Psi)$  for  $\Psi : G_{K^+} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p^*$ . For unramified places its Euler factors are given by

$$\det(I - \Psi(\mathrm{Frob}_v)r^\pm(A(\pi_v))\mathrm{Nm}(v)^{-s})^{-1}.$$

The operation for Galois representations corresponding to the Asai transfer is usually called tensor (or multiplicative) induction: Consider a representation  $\rho : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$  for an  $n$ -dimensional vector space  $V$  over a field. Then we define the following extensions of  $V \otimes V^c$  to  $G_{K^+}$ :

$$\mathrm{As}^\pm(\rho) : G_{K^+} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V \otimes V^c)$$

given by

$$\mathrm{As}^\pm(\rho)(g)(x \otimes y) = \rho(g)x \otimes \rho^c(g)(y) \text{ for } g|_K = 1$$

and

$$\mathrm{As}^\pm(\rho)(\tilde{c})(x \otimes y) = \pm y \otimes x.$$

We first note that  $\mathrm{As}^-(\rho) \cong \mathrm{As}^+(\rho) \otimes \chi_{K/K^+}$ . We quote further properties from [Pra92] Lemma 7.1:

**Lemma 3.1** (Prasad). *(1) For representations  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  of  $G_K$ ,*

$$\mathrm{As}^+(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2) = \mathrm{As}^+(\rho_1) \otimes \mathrm{As}^+(\rho_2).$$

*(2)*

$$\mathrm{As}^\pm(\rho^\vee) \cong \mathrm{As}^\pm(\rho)^\vee$$

*(3) For a one-dimensional representation  $\chi$  of  $G_K$ ,  $\mathrm{As}^+(\chi)$  is the one-dimensional representation of  $G_{K^+}$  obtained by composing  $\chi$  with the transfer map from  $G_{K^+}^{\mathrm{ab}}$  to  $G_K^{\mathrm{ab}}$ .*

We also recall from [Kri12] p.1362-3 how  $r^\pm$  and  $\mathrm{As}^\pm$  are related: Given  $\sigma : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbf{C})$  we can define a homomorphism

$$\tilde{\sigma} : G_{K^+} \rightarrow {}^L(R_{K/K^+}\mathrm{GL}_m)(\mathbf{C}) = (\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbf{C})) \rtimes G_K$$

as follows:

$$\tilde{\sigma}(g) = \begin{cases} (\sigma(g), \sigma(\tilde{c}g\tilde{c}^{-1}), g) & \text{if } g \in G_K \\ (\sigma(g\tilde{c}^{-1}), \sigma(\tilde{c}g), g) & \text{if } g \notin G_K. \end{cases}$$

It is now easy to check that

$$\mathrm{As}^\pm(\sigma) = r^\pm \circ \tilde{\sigma} : G_{K^+} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{m^2}(\mathbf{C}).$$

#### 4. RELATING SELMER GROUPS OVER $K$ AND $K^+$

In this section we record how to relate Bloch-Kato Selmer groups over  $K$  and  $K^+$ :

Let  $L$  either be  $K$  or  $K^+$ . Consider a continuous finite-dimensional representation  $V$  of  $G_L$  over  $E$ . Let  $T \subseteq V$  be a  $G_L$ -stable  $\mathcal{O}$ -lattice and put  $W = V/T$  and  $W_n = \{x \in W : \varpi^n x = 0\}$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite set of places of  $L$ . Following Bloch-Kato (see also [DFG04] Section 2.1) we define for  $M = W, W_n$  the following Selmer groups:

$$(4.1) \quad H_\Sigma^1(L, M) = \ker(H^1(L, M) \rightarrow \prod_{v \notin \Sigma} H^1(L_v, M)/H_f^1(L_v, M)),$$

where  $H_f^1(L_v, W) := \text{im}(H_f^1(L_v, V) \rightarrow H^1(L_v, W))$  and

$$H_f^1(L_v, V) = \begin{cases} \ker(H^1(L_v, V) \rightarrow H^1(I_v, V)) & \text{if } v \nmid p, \infty \\ \ker(H^1(L_v, V) \rightarrow H^1(L_v, V \otimes B_{\text{cris}})) & \text{if } v \mid p \\ 0 & \text{if } v \mid \infty. \end{cases}$$

When  $V$  is short crystalline (i.e. restrictions to  $D_v$  for  $v \mid p$  described by Fontaine-Laffaille theory as in [DFG04] Section 1.1.2, but with the more restrictive filtration condition of [CHT08] Section 2.4.1) we refer the reader to [DFG04] Section 2.1 and [BK13] Section 5 for the definition of the local subgroups  $H_f^1(L_v, W_n)$  for finite modules using Fontaine-Laffaille theory.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $V$  be a  $G_{K^+}$ -representation with  $T$  and  $W$  as above. Let  $\Sigma^+$  be a finite set of places of  $K^+$  and  $\Sigma$  the set of places of  $K$  above  $\Sigma^+$ . For  $p > 2$  we have*

$$H_{\Sigma}^1(K, W) = H_{\Sigma}^1(K, W)^+ \oplus H_{\Sigma}^1(K, W)^-,$$

where the superscripts indicate the eigenspaces for the action of  $c \in \text{Gal}(K/K^+)$ .

Furthermore the restriction map from  $G_{K^+}$  to  $G_K$  induces isomorphisms

$$H_{\Sigma^+}^1(K^+, W) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\Sigma}^1(K, W)^+$$

and

$$H_{\Sigma^+}^1(K^+, W \otimes \chi_{K/K^+}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\Sigma}^1(K, W)^-.$$

*Proof.* For this we note that  $\text{ind}_K^{K^+}(V|_{G_K}) = V \oplus V \otimes \chi_{K/K^+}$  and recall Shapiro's lemma which for a finite Galois extension of fields  $E/F$  tells us that

$$H^1(E, M) \cong H^1(F, \text{ind}_E^F(M))$$

for any continuous  $p$ -adic  $G_F$ -representation  $M$  (see [SU14] 3.1.1 and 3.1.2 for a detailed discussion of Shapiro's lemma in the case that  $M$  is a discrete module and the compatibility with restrictions at finite places and [SU14] Lemma 3.1 for the analogous statement for the Greenberg Selmer groups in the case of ordinary representations). The statement for Bloch-Kato Selmer groups follows easily from this and the projection formula

$$\text{ind}_{K_w}^{K^+}(V \otimes B_{\text{cris}}|_{G_{K_w}}) \cong \text{ind}_{K_w}^{K^+}(V) \otimes B_{\text{cris}},$$

where  $w$  is a place of  $K$  lying over a place  $v$  of  $K^+$ , which in turn lies over  $p$ .  $\square$

## 5. POLARIZED GALOIS REPRESENTATIONS AND SIGNS

We recall a class of automorphic representations for which Galois representations have been constructed. We follow the exposition in [Tho15] Section 2 (except for taking the arithmetic Frobenius normalisation when associating the Galois representation):

**Definition 5.1.** We say that a pair  $(\pi, \chi)$  of an automorphic representation  $\pi$  of  $\text{GL}_m(\mathbf{A}_K)$  and a continuous character  $\chi : (K^+)^* \backslash \mathbf{A}_{K^+}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*$  is RAECSDC (regular, algebraic, essentially conjugate self-dual, cuspidal) if it satisfies the following properties:

- (1)  $\pi$  is cuspidal.
- (2)  $\pi^\vee \cong \pi^c \otimes \chi \circ \text{Nm}_{K/K^+}$ .
- (3)  $\chi_v(-1) = (-1)^m$  for each place  $v \mid \infty$  of  $K^+$ .

- (4) The infinitesimal character of  $\pi_\infty$  agrees with the infinitesimal character of an algebraic representation of the group  $\text{Res}_{K/\mathbf{Q}}\text{GL}_m$ .

We say that an automorphic representation  $\pi$  of  $\text{GL}_m(\mathbf{A}_K)$  is RACSDC if it satisfies these conditions with  $\chi = \chi_{K/K^+}^m$ .

**Theorem 5.2** ([Tho15] Theorem 2.2, culmination of work of many people, see introduction to [CH13] and [Car12], [Car14]). *Let  $(\pi, \chi)$  be a RAECSDC automorphic representation of  $\text{GL}_m(\mathbf{A}_K)$ . Then there exists a continuous semisimple representation*

$$\rho_\pi : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_m(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$$

such that

- (a)  $\rho_\pi^\vee \cong \rho_\pi^c \otimes \epsilon^{1-m} \chi^{\text{gal}}|_{G_K}$ .
- (b)  $\rho_\pi|_{D_v}$  is de Rham for each  $v \mid p$ .
- (c) For each finite place  $v$  of  $K$ , we have

$$\text{WD}(\rho_\pi|_{G_{K_v}})^{\text{F-ss}} \cong \text{rec}_{K_v}^\vee(\pi_v \otimes |\det|^{(1-m)/2}),$$

where  $\text{rec}_{K_v}^\vee$  is the local Langlands correspondence for  $\text{GL}_m(K_v)$  using the arithmetic Frobenius normalisation.

- Remark 5.3.** (1) A standard argument using the Baire category theorem shows that each of the representations is valued in some finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  (denoted for simplicity by  $E$  in the following, suppressing the dependency on  $\pi$ ).
- (2) In certain cases, Galois representations have been constructed for irregular  $\pi$ . See, in particular, the recent papers of [PS16] and [GK15] in the case that the descent of  $\pi$  to a unitary group has a non-degenerate limit of discrete series as archimedean component.
- (3) For general regular  $L$ -algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi$  of  $\text{GL}_m(\mathbf{A}_K)$  [HLTT16] and [Sch15] have constructed Galois representations  $\rho_\pi : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_m(E)$  such that its Frobenius eigenvalues match the Hecke eigenvalues of  $\pi$ . These are also expected to satisfy local-global compatibility.

**5.1. Polarizations and signs.** Recall that  $K/K^+$  is a CM quadratic extension and  $E$  a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ .

**Definition 5.4.** Let  $\Psi : G_{K^+} \rightarrow E^*$  be a character and  $R : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_m(E)$  an absolutely irreducible representation. We call  $(R, \Psi)$  *polarized* if

$$R^\vee \cong R^c \otimes \Psi|_{G_K}.$$

In this section we consider complex conjugations  $c_v \in G_{K^+}$  for  $v \mid \infty$  and conjugate representations  $R^{c_v}$  defined by  $R^{c_v}(g) = R(c_v g c_v)$  (which are all isomorphic to  $R^c$  defined using our fixed complex conjugation  $\bar{\phantom{x}}$ ). By Schur's Lemma there exists a matrix  $A_v \in \text{GL}_m(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$ , unique up to scalar, such that  $R^\vee = A_v R^{c_v} A_v^{-1} \Psi|_{G_K}$ , depending on  $v \mid \infty$ . This implies that

$$R^{c_v} = (A_v^T)^{-1} R^\vee A_v^T \Psi^{-1}|_{G_K},$$

using  $(\Psi|_{G_K})^{c_v} = \Psi|_{G_K}$ . One can then apply Schur's Lemma again (see e.g. [Cal11]) to deduce that the matrix  $A_v$  is in fact symmetric or antisymmetric.

**Definition 5.5.** Let  $(R, \Psi)$  be polarized. If the matrix  $A_v$  described above is symmetric we call  $(R, \Psi)$  odd at  $v$  (or  $R$  odd at  $v$  with respect to  $\Psi$ ) and define  $\text{sign}(R, \Psi, c_v) = 1$  (otherwise we put  $\text{sign}(R, \Psi, c_v) = -1$ ). If  $(R, \Psi)$  is odd for all  $v \mid \infty$  we call  $(R, \Psi)$  *totally odd*.

**Lemma 5.6.** *The signs  $\text{sign}(R, \Psi, c_v)$  are independent of  $v \mid \infty$  if and only if the values  $\Psi(c_v)$  are.*

*Proof.* For  $R^{\tilde{c}'}$  the matrix  $A_{\tilde{c}'}$  equals  $A_{\tilde{c}}R(\tilde{c}'\tilde{c})$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} (A_{\tilde{c}}R(\tilde{c}'\tilde{c}))^T &= R(\tilde{c}'\tilde{c})^T A_{\tilde{c}}^T = R^\vee(\tilde{c}\tilde{c}') A_{\tilde{c}}^T = A_{\tilde{c}}R(\tilde{c}'\tilde{c}) A_{\tilde{c}}^{-1} A_{\tilde{c}}^T \Psi(\tilde{c}\tilde{c}') \\ &= A_{\tilde{c}}R(\tilde{c}'\tilde{c}) \cdot \text{sign}(R, \Psi, \tilde{c}) \Psi(\tilde{c}\tilde{c}') \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that

$$\text{sign}(R, \Psi, \tilde{c}') = \text{sign}(R, \Psi, \tilde{c}) \Psi(\tilde{c}\tilde{c}').$$

□

**Remark 5.7.** This lemma shows that our notion of “totally odd polarized” agrees with that in [BLGGT14] Section 2, except that we do not demand that  $\Psi(c_v) = -1$  for all  $v \mid \infty$ , which can, however, easily be achieved by replacing  $\Psi$  by  $\Psi\chi_{K/K^+}$ .

**Example 5.8** (Essentially self-dual  $G_{K^+}$ -representation). (1) Let  $\tilde{R} : G_{K^+} \rightarrow \text{GL}_m(E)$  absolutely irreducible such that

$$\tilde{R}^\vee \cong \tilde{R} \otimes \Psi.$$

This implies that  $\tilde{R}$  is either (generalized) symplectic or orthogonal, i.e. that there exists a symplectic or orthogonal pairing for the vector space underlying  $\tilde{R}$ . [Cal11] Lemma 2.6 shows that  $(\tilde{R}|_{G_K}, \Psi)$  is odd at  $v$  either if  $\tilde{R}$  is symplectic and  $\Psi(c_v) = -1$  or if  $\tilde{R}$  is orthogonal and  $\Psi(c_v) = 1$ .

In particular, this shows that the notion of oddness in Definition 5.5 generalizes the notion of oddness for 2-dimensional representations  $\rho : G_{K^+} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$  requiring  $\det(\rho)(\tilde{c}) = -1$ .

- (2) Consider a 2-dimensional irreducible representation  $R : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$  such that  $R^\vee \cong R^c \otimes \det(R)^{-1}$  and  $\det R$  extends to  $G_{K^+}$ . Then  $R^c \cong R$ , so  $R$  extends to  $G_{K^+}$ . Let  $\tilde{R} : G_{K^+} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$  be either of the two extensions. This satisfies  $\tilde{R}^\vee \cong \tilde{R} \otimes \det(\tilde{R})^{-1}$  (but not  $\tilde{R}^\vee \cong \tilde{R} \otimes \det(\tilde{R})^{-1} \chi_{K/K^+}$  since  $\tilde{R} \not\cong \tilde{R} \otimes \chi_{K/K^+}$ ). This means that  $\text{sign}(R) = 1$  is again equivalent to the oddness of the polarization character  $\det(\tilde{R})$ .

**Theorem 5.9** (Bellaïche-Chenevier [BC11],[BLGGT14] Theorem 2.1.1(1)). *Let  $(\pi, \chi)$  be a RAECSDC representation of  $\text{GL}_m(\mathbf{A}_K)$ . Then (any irreducible factor of)  $\rho_\pi$  is totally odd with respect to  $\epsilon^{1-m} \chi^{\text{gal}}$ .*

**Remark 5.10.** If  $p > 2$  and  $\rho_\pi$  is residually irreducible then the signs of  $\rho_\pi$  and its reduction agree (see e.g. [BC11] section 2.3). This implies that in such cases oddness is also known for irregular  $\pi$  if there is a congruence to a RAECSDC representation.

Work of V. Lafforgue should more generally allow to relax the regularity assumption to include  $\pi$  such that the descent to the corresponding unitary group has a non-degenerate limit of discrete series as archimedean component.



## 6. BLOCH-KATO CONJECTURE

Let  $\pi$  be a regular  $L$ -algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbf{A}_K)$ . As noted above, [HLTT16] and [Sch15] have constructed the Galois representations  $\rho_\pi : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(E)$  associated to  $\pi$  for  $E$  a (sufficiently large) finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  (we again take the arithmetic Frobenius normalisation).

In this section we state the Bloch-Kato conjecture (following the exposition in [Dum15]) for the Asai  $L$ -value  $L(1, \pi, r^\pm \otimes \Psi)$  for  $\Psi : G_{K^+} \rightarrow E^*$  a continuous Galois character.

Let  $F$  be a number field (including the field of definition of the Satake parameters of  $\pi$ ), and  $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$  a place of  $F$  with  $F_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong E$ . Let  $\mathrm{As}^\pm(\rho_\pi) : G_{K^+} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(E)$  be the Asai plus/minus representation defined in section 3. By [Clo90] Conjecture 4.5 (applied to the conjectural Asai transfer  $\mathrm{As}^\pm(\pi)$  to  $\mathrm{GL}_{m^2}(\mathbf{A})$ ) there should exist a motive  $\mathcal{M}(\pi, r^\pm \otimes \Psi)$  of rank  $m^2$  with coefficients in  $F$  such that if  $\rho_{\mathcal{M}(\pi, r^\pm \otimes \Psi), \mathfrak{p}} : G_{K^+} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{m^2}(E)$  is its  $\mathfrak{p}$ -adic realisation then  $\rho_{\mathcal{M}(\pi, r^\pm \otimes \Psi), \mathfrak{p}}(\mathrm{Frob}_v^{-1})$  is conjugate in  $\mathrm{GL}_{m^2}(E)$  to  $(r^\pm \otimes \Psi)(A(\pi_v))$ , where  $A(\pi_v)$  is the semisimple conjugacy class (Satake parameter) in  ${}^L(R_{K/K^+} \mathrm{GL}_m)(\mathbf{C})$ . Note that this  $\mathfrak{p}$ -adic realisation is dual to  $\mathrm{As}^\pm(\rho_\pi) \otimes \Psi$  due to the arithmetic Frobenius normalisation we chose for  $\rho_\pi$ .

Put  $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}(\pi, r^\pm \otimes \Psi)$ . Let  $H_B(\mathcal{M})$  and  $H_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{M})$  be the Betti and de Rham realisations, and let  $H_B(\mathcal{M})^\pm$  be the eigenspaces for the complex conjugation  $\tilde{c}$ . Following Deligne we call  $\mathcal{M}$  critical if  $\dim(H_B(\mathcal{M})^+) = \dim(H_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{M})/\mathrm{Fil}^0)$ . Assume that this is the case for  $\mathcal{M}$  (which requires, in particular, a choice of  $r^+$  or  $r^-$ , see Proposition 6.3).

Assume  $p \gg 0$  (see section 4 of [Dum15] for details, but in particular, so that  $(\mathrm{As}^\pm(\rho_\pi) \otimes \Psi)|_{D_v}$  can be described using Fontaine-Laffaille theory) and that  $\pi$  is not ramified at any places dividing  $p$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathfrak{p})}$  be the localisation at  $\mathfrak{p}$  of the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_F$  of  $F$ . Choose an  $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ -lattice  $T_B^\pm$  in  $H_B(\mathcal{M})$  in such a way that  $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^\pm := T_B^\pm \otimes \mathcal{O}$  is a  $G_{K^+}$ -invariant lattice in the  $\mathfrak{p}$ -adic realisation. Then choose an  $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ -lattice  $T_{\mathrm{dR}}^\pm$  in  $H_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{M})$  in such a way that  $\mathbb{V}(T_{\mathrm{dR}}^\pm \otimes \mathcal{O}) = T_{\mathfrak{p}}^\pm$  as  $G_{K_p^+}$ -representations, where  $\mathbb{V}$  is the version of the Fontaine-Laffaille functor used in [DFG04].

Let  $\Omega$  be a Deligne period scaled according to the above choice, i.e. the determinant of the isomorphism

$$H_B(\mathcal{M})^+ \otimes \mathbf{C} \cong (H_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{M})/\mathrm{Fil}^0) \otimes \mathbf{C},$$

calculated with respect to the bases of  $(T_B^\pm)^+$  and  $T_{\mathrm{dR}}^\pm/\mathrm{Fil}^1$ , so well-defined up to  $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathfrak{p})}^*$ .

**Conjecture 6.1** ([BK90], [Dum15] Conjecture 4.1). *If  $\Sigma^+$  is a finite set of primes, containing all  $v$  where  $\pi_v$  or  $K/K^+$  is ramified, but not containing  $p$  then*

$$\mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}} \left( \frac{L^{\Sigma^+}(1, \pi, r^\pm \otimes \Psi)}{\Omega} \right) = \mathrm{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}} \left( \frac{\#H_{\Sigma^+}^1(K^+, T_{\mathfrak{p}}^* \otimes (E/\mathcal{O}))}{\#H^0(K^+, T_{\mathfrak{p}}^* \otimes (E/\mathcal{O}))} \right),$$

where  $T_{\mathfrak{p}}^* = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(T_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathcal{O})$ , with the dual action of  $G_{K^+}$ , and  $\#$  denotes a Fitting ideal.

**Remark 6.2.** (1) Note that the Langlands  $L$ -function  $L(1, \pi, r^\pm \otimes \Psi)$  equals  $L(1, \mathcal{M})$  and relates to the Galois modules on the right hand side given by

- $\mathcal{M}^\vee \cong \text{As}^\pm(\rho_\pi) \otimes \Psi$ . We will write the Selmer group in the numerator of the right hand side as  $H_{\Sigma^+}^1(K^+, \text{As}^\pm(\rho_\pi) \otimes \Psi \otimes (E/\mathcal{O}))$  in the following.
- (2) If  $m = 2$  (so that  $\rho_\pi^\vee \cong \rho_\pi \otimes \det(\rho_\pi)^{-1}$ ) and the central character of  $\pi$  extends to  $G_{K^+}$  (the situation in [Ber15]) or if  $(\pi, \chi)$  is an RAECSDC representation and  $\Psi = \epsilon^{1-m} \chi^{\text{gal}}$  then  $\text{As}(\rho_\pi) \otimes \Psi$  is self-dual (using the properties of the twisted tensor induction recalled in section 3 and that the transfer of  $\Psi|_{G_K}$  to  $G_{K^+}$  is  $\Psi^2$ , see Lemma 4.1 of [Ber15]).

For the case of  $\Psi|_{G_K} = \epsilon^{-m}$  we analyze the criticality of the Asai motive  $\mathcal{M}(\pi, r^\pm \otimes \Psi) = \mathcal{M}(\pi, r^\pm)(m)$  (or equivalently its dual  $\text{As}^\pm(\rho)(-m)$ ):

**Proposition 6.3.** *Let  $p > 2$  and  $\rho = \rho_\pi : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(E)$  be a residually irreducible continuous representation arising from a regular motive with coefficients in  $F$  that is pure of some weight. We assume that the Hodge decomposition of  $H_B(\mathcal{M}(\pi, r^\pm))$  involves  $H^{a,b}$  with  $0 \leq a, b \leq 2\text{wt}(\rho)$ . Then for  $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0} \cap [1, \text{wt}(\rho)]$  (the left-hand side of the critical strip) the motive  $\text{As}^{(-1)^{m+1}}(\rho)(-m)$  is critical in the sense of Deligne, whereas  $\text{As}^{(-1)^m}(\rho)(-m)$  is not (so exactly the odd (resp. even) integers in  $[1, \text{wt}(\rho)]$  are critical for  $\text{As}^+(\rho)$  (resp.  $\text{As}^-(\rho)$ ).*

*Proof.* We need to show

$$\dim H_B(\mathcal{M}(\pi, r^{(-1)^{m+1}})(m))^+ = \dim H_{\text{dR}}(\mathcal{M}(\pi, r^{(-1)^{m+1}})(m))/\text{Fil}^0.$$

(In the following we will write  $r$  for  $r^{(-1)^{m+1}}$ .) Since we assume that  $m$  lies in the left-hand side of the critical strip and that  $\rho$  arises from a regular pure motive we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim H_{\text{dR}}(\mathcal{M}(\pi, r)(m))/\text{Fil}^0 &= \frac{1}{2}(\dim H_{\text{dR}}(\mathcal{M}(\pi, r)(m)) - h^{\text{wt}(\rho), \text{wt}(\rho)}) \\ &= \frac{n(n-1)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

To calculate  $\dim H_B(\mathcal{M}(\pi, r)(m))^+$  we follow the argument in [Har13] Section 1.3: Write  $M_B := H_B(\mathcal{M}(\rho))$  for the Betti realisation of the motive corresponding to  $\rho$ , which is a module over the number field  $F$ . Choose an  $F$ -basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  of  $M_B$ . Let  $t_B = 2\pi i t$  be a rational basis for  $K^+(1)_B = (2\pi i)K^+$  and  $e_\chi$  a basis vector for the Betti realisation of the Artin motive  $K^+(\chi_{K/K^+})$  of rank 1 over  $K^+$  attached to the character  $\chi_{K/K^+}$ . As a model of  $H_B(\mathcal{M}(\pi, r)(m))$  we take

$$H_B(\mathcal{M}(\pi, r)(m)) = M_B \otimes M_B^c(m) \otimes K^+(\chi_{K/K^+})_B^{\otimes m+1}$$

with the action

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{c}(e_a \otimes e_b^c \otimes t_B^m \otimes e_\chi^{m+1}) &= e_b \otimes e_a^c \otimes (-1)^m t_B^m \otimes (-1)^{m+1} e_\chi^{m+1} \\ &= -e_b \otimes e_a^c \otimes t_B^m \otimes e_\chi^{m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the vectors

$$\{e_{ab}^+ = [e_a \otimes e_b^c - e_b \otimes e_a^c] \otimes t_B^m \otimes e_\chi^{m+1}, a < b\}$$

form a basis for  $H_B(\mathcal{M}(\pi, r^{(-1)^{m+1}})(m))^+$ , so that its dimension is therefore also  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ .  $\square$

## 7. CONSTRUCTION OF ELEMENTS IN CRITICAL SELMER GROUPS FOR GENERAL ASAI REPRESENTATIONS

This section proves the main result Theorem 7.1 which establishes the Galois part of the proof of one direction of the Bloch-Kato conjecture for general Asai representations (i.e. we assume the existence of a residually reducible representation  $R$  that could be constructed by establishing suitable congruences of polarized automorphic forms). Note that the assumption in the theorem on the reduction of  $R$  is that  $\overline{R}^{\text{ss}}$  has two summands that get swapped under the involution  $\sigma \mapsto \sigma^{c\vee} \otimes \Psi^{-1}$  corresponding to the polarization of  $(R, \Psi)$ .

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $p > 2$ ,  $\Psi : G_{K^+} \rightarrow E^*$  a character, and  $\rho : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(E)$  a residually irreducible continuous representation with*

$$\overline{\rho} \not\equiv \overline{\rho}^{c\vee} \Psi^{-1} \pmod{\varpi}.$$

*Assume there exists an absolutely irreducible representation*

$$R : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_{2n}(E)$$

*that is unramified away from a finite set of places  $\Sigma$  and short crystalline at  $v \mid p$  such that*

$$R^\vee \cong R^c \otimes \Psi|_{G_K}$$

*and*

$$\overline{R}^{\text{ss}} \cong \overline{\rho} \oplus \overline{\rho}^{c\vee} \otimes \overline{\Psi}^{-1}|_{G_K}.$$

*If  $\Sigma^+$  is the set of places of  $K^+$  lying below  $\Sigma$  then*

$$p \mid \#H_{\Sigma^+}^1(K^+, \text{As}^{-\Psi(\tilde{c})\text{sign}(R, \Psi, \tilde{c})}(\rho) \otimes \Psi \otimes (E/\mathcal{O})).$$

*Proof.* We generalize the proof of Theorem 6.1 in [Ber15].

By assumption  $\overline{R}^{\text{ss}} \cong \overline{\rho} \oplus \overline{\rho}^{c\vee} \otimes \overline{\Psi}^{-1}|_{G_K} \pmod{\varpi}$ . By Ribet's lemma (see e.g. Theorem 1.1 of [Urb01]) we know there exists a lattice for  $R$  such that

$$(7.1) \quad R \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\rho} & * \\ 0 & \overline{\rho}^{c\vee} \overline{\Psi}^{-1}|_{G_K} \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\varpi}$$

and this extension is not split.

We claim now that this gives rise to an element in

$$H_{\Sigma}^1(K, \text{As}(\rho) \otimes \Psi \otimes (E/\mathcal{O}))^{-\Psi(\tilde{c})\text{sign}(R, \Psi, \tilde{c})},$$

which is isomorphic to  $H_{\Sigma^+}^1(K^+, \text{As}^{-\Psi(\tilde{c})\text{sign}(R, \Psi, \tilde{c})}(\rho) \otimes \Psi \otimes (E/\mathcal{O}))$  by Lemma 4.1.

First note that (7.1) gives a non-trivial class in  $H^1(G_K, \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{F}}(\overline{\rho}^{c\vee} \overline{\Psi}^{-1}|_{G_K}, \overline{\rho}))$ . By the assumptions on the ramification and crystallinity of  $\tilde{R}$  we have, in fact, a class in  $H_{\Sigma}^1(G_K, \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{F}}(\overline{\rho}^{c\vee} \overline{\Psi}^{-1}, \overline{\rho}))$ . Since

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{F}}(\overline{\rho}^{c\vee} \overline{\Psi}^{-1}, \overline{\rho}) \cong \overline{\rho} \otimes \overline{\rho}^{\tilde{c}} \otimes \overline{\Psi} \cong (\text{As}(\overline{\rho}) \otimes \overline{\Psi})|_{G_K}$$

we obtain an element in

$$H_{\Sigma}^1(G_K, \text{As}(\overline{\rho}) \otimes \overline{\Psi}) \cong H_{\Sigma}^1(K, \text{As}(\rho) \otimes \Psi \otimes (E/\mathcal{O})[\varpi]),$$

which injects into  $H_{\Sigma}^1(K, \text{As}(\rho) \otimes \Psi \otimes (E/\mathcal{O}))$  since  $H^0(G_{K^+}, \text{As}(\overline{\rho}) \otimes \overline{\Psi}) = 0$ . To see the latter, assume that  $\text{Hom}_{G_{K^+}}(\mathbf{1}, \text{As}(\overline{\rho}) \otimes \overline{\Psi}) \neq 0$ . This implies  $\text{Hom}_{G_K}(\mathbf{1}, \overline{\rho} \otimes \overline{\rho}^c \otimes \overline{\Psi}) \neq 0$ , contradicting our assumption that  $\overline{\rho}$  and  $\overline{\rho}^{c\vee} \overline{\Psi}^{-1}$  are irreducible and non-isomorphic.

**Lemma 7.2.** *On  $H^1(G_K, \text{As}^+(\bar{\rho}) \otimes \bar{\Psi})$  the  $\tilde{c}$ -action coincides with  $-\Psi(\tilde{c})$  times the “polarization involution”  $\perp_{\tilde{c}}$  arising from the involution on  $\mathbf{F}[G_K]$  given by  $g \mapsto \tau(g) := \tilde{c}g^{-1}\tilde{c}^{-1}\bar{\Psi}^{-1}(g)$  for  $g \in G_K$ .*

*Proof.* We recall from [BC09] Section 1.8 for how  $\tau$  induces an involution on  $H^1(G_K, \text{As}(\bar{\rho}) \otimes \bar{\Psi})$ . (For this action we only require the  $G_K$ -module, so we will write the coefficients as  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\rho}^{\tilde{c}\vee}\bar{\Psi}^{-1}, \bar{\rho})$ .) In our case,  $\tau$  is an anti-involution of algebras, and the corresponding involution on representations  $\sigma : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_m(\mathbf{F})$  is given by  $\sigma \mapsto \sigma^\perp := (\sigma \circ \tau)^T$ .

[BC09] (26) on p.51 explains that the induced involution on  $H^1(K, \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\rho}^{\tilde{c}\vee}\bar{\Psi}^{-1}, \bar{\rho}))$  can be described as follows: Associate to a cocycle  $\phi \in Z^1(G_K, \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\rho}^{\tilde{c}\vee}\bar{\Psi}^{-1}, \bar{\rho}))$  the representation

$$\rho_\phi : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbf{F}) : g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\rho}(g) & b(g) \\ 0 & \bar{\rho}^{\tilde{c}\vee}\bar{\Psi}^{-1}(g) \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $b(g) = \phi(g)\bar{\rho}^{\tilde{c}\vee}\bar{\Psi}^{-1}(g)$ . Then  $\phi^\perp := \phi^{\perp_{\tilde{c}}}$  given by

$$\phi^\perp(g) := b^T(\tilde{c}g^{-1}\tilde{c}^{-1})\bar{\rho}^{\tilde{c}\vee}(g^{-1})$$

defines an involution  $\perp$  on  $H_\Sigma^1(K, \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\rho}^{\tilde{c}\vee}\bar{\Psi}^{-1}, \bar{\rho}))$ .

As in the proof of Lemma 5.2 in [Ber15] we calculate that

$$\phi^\perp(g) = \bar{\rho}(g)\phi^T(\tilde{c}g^{-1}\tilde{c}^{-1})\bar{\rho}^{\tilde{c}\vee}(g^{-1})\bar{\Psi}(g) = -\phi^T(\tilde{c}g\tilde{c}^{-1}),$$

where we used the cocycle relation for the last equality.

We compare this to the action of  $\tilde{c} \in G_{K^+}$  on  $[\phi] \in H_\Sigma^1(K, \text{As}(\bar{\rho}) \otimes \bar{\Psi})$  given by

$$(\tilde{c}.\phi)(g) = \text{As}(\bar{\rho}) \otimes \Psi(\tilde{c})\phi(\tilde{c}g\tilde{c}^{-1}).$$

Since  $\text{As}(\rho)(\tilde{c})$  acts as transpose on the upper right shoulder,

$$\phi^T \in Z^1(G_K, \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\rho}^\vee, (\bar{\rho}^{\tilde{c}\vee}\bar{\Psi}^{-1})^\vee) = Z^1(G_K, \text{As}(\bar{\rho}) \otimes \bar{\Psi}|_{G_K}),$$

complex conjugation acts by  $\phi \mapsto (g \mapsto \Psi(\tilde{c})\phi^T(cgc^{-1}))$  on a cocycle representing a class  $[\phi] \in H_\Sigma^1(K, \text{As}(\bar{\rho}) \otimes \bar{\Psi})$ . □

By using a result of Bellaïche and Chenevier we can now show that the extension (7.1) constructed using Theorem 1.1 of [Urb01] lies in  $H^1(K, \text{As}(\rho) \otimes \Psi \otimes (E/\mathcal{O}))^{-\Psi(\tilde{c})\text{sign}(R, \Psi, \tilde{c})}$ . In fact, Urban’s Theorem 1.1 constructs an element  $c_G$  of  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{F}[G_K]}^1(\bar{\rho}^{\tilde{c}\vee}\bar{\Psi}^{-1}, \bar{\rho})$  by considering the linear extension  $\hat{R}$  of  $R$  to  $\mathcal{O}[G_K] \rightarrow M_{2n}(\mathcal{O})$ . Put  $T := \text{tr } \hat{R}$ . Since  $\hat{R}$  is absolutely irreducible [BC09] Proposition 1.6.4 tells us that  $\ker \hat{R} = \ker T$ . The morphism  $\hat{R}$  induces a morphism from  $\mathcal{O}[G_K]/\ker \hat{R}$  and so, applying [Urb01] Theorem 1.1 again, we see that  $c_G$  lies in the subspace

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{F}[G_K]/\ker T}^1(\bar{\rho}^{\tilde{c}\vee}\bar{\Psi}^{-1}, \bar{\rho}) \subset \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{F}[G_K]}^1(\bar{\rho}^{\tilde{c}\vee}\bar{\Psi}^{-1}, \bar{\rho}).$$

We conclude the proof using [BC09] Proposition 1.8.10(i) which tells us that  $\perp_{\tilde{c}}$  acts by multiplication by  $\text{sign}(\tilde{R}, \Psi, \tilde{c})$  on  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{F}[G_K]/\ker T}^1(\bar{\rho}^{\tilde{c}\vee}\bar{\Psi}^{-1}, \bar{\rho})$ . □

Under some mild additional assumptions one can check that the Theorem implies that this construction always produces elements in the Selmer group for the Asai motive that is critical in the sense of Deligne.

For  $\Psi|_{G_K} = \epsilon^{-w}$  (e.g. the polarization character for the Galois representations associated to RACSDC representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_{w+1}(\mathbf{A}_K)$ ) and  $\mathrm{sign}(R) = 1$  we have  $\mathrm{As}^{-\Psi(\bar{c})\mathrm{sign}(R)}(\rho(i)) \otimes \Psi = \mathrm{As}^{(-1)^{w+1}}(\rho)(-w+2i)$ . We can therefore deduce the following corollary to Theorem 7.1 and Proposition 6.3:

**Corollary 7.3.** *Let  $p > 2$ ,  $K/K^+$  a CM field and  $\rho : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(E)$  be an residually irreducible continuous representation arising from a regular motive that is pure of some weight. Consider an absolutely irreducible representation*

$$R : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(E)$$

*with  $\mathrm{sign}(R) = 1$  that is pure of weight  $w$ , unramified away from a finite set of places  $\Sigma$  and short crystalline at  $v \mid p$  and satisfies*

$$R^\vee \cong R^c \otimes \Psi|_{G_K}$$

*with  $\Psi : G_K \rightarrow E^*$  such that  $\Psi|_{G_K} = \epsilon^{-w}$ . Assume that for some  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that  $w - 2i$  lies in the left-hand side of the critical strip of  $\mathrm{As}(\rho)$  we have*

$$\overline{R}^{\mathrm{ss}} = \overline{\rho}(i) \oplus \overline{\rho}^c(i)^\vee \otimes \overline{\Psi}^{-1}|_{G_K}$$

*and*

$$\overline{\rho}(i) \not\cong \overline{\rho}^c(i)^\vee \overline{\Psi}^{-1} \pmod{\varpi}.$$

*If  $\Sigma^+$  is the set of places of  $K^+$  lying below  $\Sigma$  then*

$$p \mid \#H_{\Sigma^+}^1(K^+, \mathrm{As}^{(-1)^{w+1}}(\rho)(-w+2i) \otimes (E/\mathcal{O}))$$

*and  $\mathrm{As}^{(-1)^{w+1}}(\rho)(-w+2i)$  is critical.*

**Remark 7.4.** By the Bloch-Kato conjecture 6.1 the Selmer group in the corollary should be governed by the  $L$ -value (on the right hand side of the critical strip)  $L(1+w-2i, \pi, r^{(-1)^{w+1}})$ . For polarized representations  $\rho$  (i.e. when  $\rho^c = \rho^\vee(\mathrm{wt}(\rho))$ ) the Deligne conjecture for the corresponding  $L$ -values is discussed in [Har13] Section 1.3 (where the Asai representation is viewed as an extension of the adjoint representation  $\rho \otimes \rho^\vee$ ). (Note that because of our assumption that  $\overline{\rho} \not\cong \overline{\rho}^{c^\vee} \otimes \overline{\Psi}^{-1} \pmod{\varpi}$  these could be studied in Corollary 7.3 only for  $i \neq 0$ .)

## 8. FONTAINE-MAZUR CONJECTURE

By combining Fontaine-Mazur's conjecture ([FM95] Conjecture 1) with Langlands automorphy conjectures one arrives at the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 8.1.** *Let  $R : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$  be a continuous irreducible potentially semi-stable representation. Let  $\Psi : G_{K^+} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p^*$  be a continuous character such that*

$$R^\vee \cong R^c \otimes \Psi|_{G_K}.$$

*Then there exists an algebraic essentially conjugate self-dual cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_m/K$  such that  $\rho_\pi \cong R$ .*

**Remark 8.2.** Results towards this conjecture have been proven by [BLGGT14] and Thorne [Tho15]:

[BLGGT14] Theorem 4.5.1 proves potential automorphy for odd  $R$  that are residually irreducible (and satisfying some further conditions, e.g. potential diagonalisability at  $v \mid p$ ).

[Tho15] Theorem 7.1 proves the conjecture for certain residually reducible  $R$  with

$$R^\vee \cong R^c \epsilon^{1-m}$$

and  $\overline{R}^{\text{ss}} \cong \overline{\rho}_1 \oplus \overline{\rho}_2$  with  $\overline{\rho}_i|_{G_K(\zeta_p)}$  adequate and  $\overline{R}$  of *Schur type*, i.e.  $\overline{\rho}_1 \not\cong \overline{\rho}_2$  and  $\epsilon^{1-m} \overline{\rho}_1^c \not\cong \overline{\rho}_2^\vee$  (so residual constituents do *not* get swapped by involution, different to our set-up). Apart from some other technical conditions [Tho15] assumes  $R$  ordinary at  $v \mid p$  and that  $\overline{R}^{\text{ss}}$  is automorphic, but not that  $R$  is odd. The deformation theory techniques used to study residual representations of Schur type do not apply in our setting.

Similar to Calegari's result (see Theorem 1.1) the oddness of automorphic Galois representations (Theorem 5.9 and Remark 5.10) and Conjecture 8.1 implies the non-existence of even geometric representations (except for certain exceptional cases like Tate twists of even representations with finite image). In the residually reducible case our result allows the following evidence towards conjecture 8.1:

**Corollary 8.3.** *Let  $(R, \Psi)$  be polarized as in Theorem 7.1, in particular such that*

$$\overline{R}^{\text{ss}} = \overline{\rho} \oplus \overline{\rho}^{c^\vee} \otimes \Psi^{-1}|_{G_K}.$$

*If*

$$p \nmid \#H_{\Sigma^+}^1(K^+, \text{As}^{\Psi(\tilde{c})}(\overline{\rho}) \otimes \overline{\Psi})$$

*then  $(R, \Psi)$  is totally odd.*

**Remark 8.4.** (1) Note that we can deduce total oddness by applying Theorem 7.1 for all complex conjugations  $c_v$  for  $v \mid \infty$ , without a priori assuming the independence of  $\Psi(c_v)$ , since  $H_{\Sigma^+}^1(K^+, \text{As}^{\Psi(c_v)}(\rho) \otimes \Psi \otimes (E/\mathcal{O}))$  is independent of  $v \mid \infty$ . For this we note that the Galois representations  $\text{As}^\pm(\rho)$  (defined as extensions of  $\rho \otimes \rho^{c_v}$ ) are isomorphic for different  $c_v$ , and that  $\text{As}^{\Psi(c_v)}(\rho) \otimes \Psi$  is independent of  $\Psi(c_v)$ .

(2) Corollary 8.3 lets us give an alternative proof of Proposition 1.2: Let  $\sigma : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$  be a short crystalline representation as in Proposition 1.2 and choose  $K/\mathbf{Q}$  imaginary quadratic such that  $R := \sigma|_{G_K}$  is irreducible (i.e. such that  $\sigma \not\cong \sigma \otimes \chi_{K/\mathbf{Q}}$ ). We now take  $K^+ = \mathbf{Q}$ ,  $n = 1$ ,  $\rho$  the trivial character  $\mathbf{1}_{G_K} : G_K \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p^*$ , and  $\Psi = \epsilon^{-m}$  in Corollary 8.3.

Note that  $\text{As}^+(\mathbf{1}_{G_K}) = \mathbf{1}_{G_{\mathbf{Q}}}$  and  $\text{As}^{\Psi(\tilde{c})}(\rho) \otimes \Psi = \chi_{K/\mathbf{Q}}^m \epsilon^{-m}$ . Assume that  $m$  is even (if  $m$  is odd then there is nothing to show). Vandiver's conjecture then tells us that  $p \nmid \text{Cl}(\mathbf{Q}(\mu_p))(\overline{\epsilon}^{-m})$ . Since  $\mathbf{Q}(\mu_p)$  is a ramified extension of  $\mathbf{Q}(\mu_p^{\otimes m})$  we deduce that  $p \nmid \text{Cl}(\mathbf{Q}(\mu_p^{\otimes m}))(\overline{\epsilon}^{-m})$  also. The latter is isomorphic to  $H_f^1(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p(\overline{\epsilon}^{-m}))$  (see e.g. [Rub00] Proposition 1.6.2). For  $\Sigma^+ = \{p\}$  we therefore have

$$p \nmid \#H_f^1(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p(\overline{\epsilon}^{-m}))[p] = \#H_{\Sigma^+}^1(\mathbf{Q}, \text{As}^{\Psi(\tilde{c})}(\overline{\rho}) \otimes \overline{\Psi}),$$

so Corollary 8.3 implies that  $R$  is odd with respect to  $\Psi = \epsilon^{-m}$ . As explained in Example 5.8 this would require  $\Psi(\tilde{c}) = -1$ , a contradiction.

- (3) If  $(R, \Psi)$  satisfy further the assumptions of Corollary 7.3 (but without assuming that  $(R, \Psi)$  is odd) then the Asai representation in Corollary 8.3 is not critical. As explained in (2), in the particular case of  $\epsilon^{-m}$  for even  $m$  Vandiver's conjecture predicts that the non-divisibility assumption in Corollary 8.3 is satisfied.

## REFERENCES

- BC09. J. Bellaïche and G. Chenevier, *p-adic families of Galois representations and higher rank Selmer groups*, Astérisque (2009), no. 324.
- BC11. Joël Bellaïche and Gaëtan Chenevier, *The sign of Galois representations attached to automorphic forms for unitary groups*, Compos. Math. **147** (2011), no. 5, 1337–1352.
- Ber15. Tobias Berger, *On the Bloch-Kato conjecture for the Asai  $l$ -function*, Preprint available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1507.00684>.
- BK90. S. Bloch and K. Kato, *L-functions and Tamagawa numbers of motives*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. I, Progr. Math., vol. 86, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 333–400.
- BK13. T. Berger and K. Klosin, *On deformation rings of residually reducible Galois representations and  $R = T$  theorems*, Math. Ann. **355** (2013), no. 2, 481–518.
- BLGGT14. Thomas Barnet-Lamb, Toby Gee, David Geraghty, and Richard Taylor, *Potential automorphy and change of weight*, Ann. of Math. (2) **179** (2014), no. 2, 501–609.
- Cal11. Frank Calegari, *Even Galois representations* (Lecture notes Poincaré Institute), Preprint available at <http://www.math.northwestern.edu/~fcale/papers/FontaineTalk-Adjusted.pdf>.
- Cal12. Frank Calegari, *Even Galois representations and the Fontaine–Mazur conjecture. II*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (2012), no. 2, 533–554.
- Car12. Ana Caraiani, *Local-global compatibility and the action of monodromy on nearby cycles*, Duke Math. J. **161** (2012), no. 12, 2311–2413.
- Car14. ———, *Monodromy and local-global compatibility for  $l = p$* , Algebra Number Theory **8** (2014), no. 7, 1597–1646.
- CH13. Gaëtan Chenevier and Michael Harris, *Construction of automorphic Galois representations, II*, Camb. J. Math. **1** (2013), no. 1, 53–73.
- CHT08. Laurent Clozel, Michael Harris, and Richard Taylor, *Automorphy for some  $l$ -adic lifts of automorphic mod  $l$  Galois representations*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. (2008), no. 108, 1–181, With Appendix A, summarizing unpublished work of Russ Mann, and Appendix B by Marie-France Vignéras.
- Clo90. Laurent Clozel, *Motifs et formes automorphes: applications du principe de fonctorialité*, Automorphic forms, Shimura varieties, and  $L$ -functions, Vol. I (Ann Arbor, MI, 1988), Perspect. Math., vol. 10, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 77–159.
- DFG04. F. Diamond, M. Flach, and L. Guo, *The Tamagawa number conjecture of adjoint motives of modular forms*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **37** (2004).
- Dum15. Neil Dummigan, *Eisenstein congruences for unitary groups*, Preprint available at <http://neil-dummigan.staff.shef.ac.uk/unitarycong4.pdf>.
- FM95. Jean-Marc Fontaine and Barry Mazur, *Geometric Galois representations*, Elliptic curves, modular forms, & Fermat's last theorem (Hong Kong, 1993), Ser. Number Theory, I, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995, pp. 41–78.
- GK15. Wushi Goldring and Jean-Stefan Koskivirta, *Strata Hasse invariants, Hecke algebras and Galois representations*, Preprint available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1507.05032>.
- GS15. Neven Grbac and Freydoon Shahidi, *Endoscopic transfer for unitary groups and holomorphy of Asai  $L$ -functions*, Pacific J. Math. **276** (2015), no. 1, 185–211.
- Har13. Michael Harris,  *$L$ -functions and periods of adjoint motives*, Algebra Number Theory **7** (2013), no. 1, 117–155.
- HLTT16. Michael Harris, Kai-Wen Lan, Richard Taylor, and Jack Thorne, *On the rigid cohomology of certain Shimura varieties*, Res. Math. Sci. **3** (2016), 3:37.
- Kha00. Chandrashekhara Khare, *Notes on Ribet's converse to Herbrand*, Cyclotomic fields and related topics (Pune, 1999), Bhaskaracharya Pratishthana, Pune, 2000, pp. 273–284.

- Kri03. M. Krishnamurthy, *The Asai transfer to  $GL_4$  via the Langlands-Shahidi method*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2003), no. 41, 2221–2254.
- Kri12. ———, *Determination of cusp forms on  $GL(2)$  by coefficients restricted to quadratic subfields (with an appendix by Dipendra Prasad and Dinakar Ramakrishnan)*, J. Number Theory **132** (2012), no. 6, 1359–1384.
- Pra92. Dipendra Prasad, *Invariant forms for representations of  $GL_2$  over a local field*, Amer. J. Math. **114** (1992), no. 6, 1317–1363.
- PS16. Vincent Pilloni and Benoît Stroh, *Cohomologie cohérente et représentations Galois-ennes*, Ann. Math. Qué. **40** (2016), no. 1, 167–202.
- Ram02. Dinakar Ramakrishnan, *Modularity of solvable Artin representations of  $GO(4)$ -type*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2002), no. 1, 1–54.
- Ram04. ———, *Algebraic cycles on Hilbert modular fourfolds and poles of  $L$ -functions*, Algebraic groups and arithmetic, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Mumbai, 2004, pp. 221–274.
- Rib76. Kenneth A. Ribet, *A modular construction of unramified  $p$ -extensions of  $\mathbf{Q}(\mu_p)$* , Invent. Math. **34** (1976), no. 3, 151–162.
- Rub00. Karl Rubin, *Euler systems*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 147, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000, Hermann Weyl Lectures. The Institute for Advanced Study.
- Sch15. Peter Scholze, *On torsion in the cohomology of locally symmetric varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2) **182** (2015), no. 3, 945–1066.
- SU14. Christopher Skinner and Eric Urban, *The Iwasawa main conjectures for  $GL_2$* , Invent. Math. **195** (2014), no. 1, 1–277.
- Tho15. Jack A. Thorne, *Automorphy lifting for residually reducible  $l$ -adic Galois representations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **28** (2015), no. 3, 785–870.
- Urb01. E. Urban, *Selmer groups and the Eisenstein-Klingen ideal*, Duke Math. J. **106** (2001), no. 3, 485–525.

<sup>1</sup>SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF SHEFFIELD, HICKS BUILDING, HOUNSFIELD ROAD, SHEFFIELD S3 7RH, UK.